

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/089,290	06/01/1998	JIE LIANG	TI-26415	2679
23494	7590 12/09/2002			
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 655 DALLAS, TX	5474, M/S 3999 X 75265		LEE, Y YOUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 \mathcal{A}

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/089,290 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit Y. Lee

2613

Jie Liang et al



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 13, 2	002 .			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-5	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-5	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ▼ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>May 10, 1999</u> is: a) ▼ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examine					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	application from the International Burea				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	``			
Attachm		Enterty Entert do Grand do The unity of TET			
	ortice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🗌 No	stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/089,290

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 5/10/99 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/089,290 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Civanlar et al (5,691,768) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 5 of the last office action, paper number 15, dated 8/5/02.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., change decoding resolution during decoding) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant also asserts element 407 of Civanlar et al does not do decoding. However, it is submitted Figure 4 illustrates a decoding system.

Application/Control Number: 09/089,290 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

December 4, 2002

Y. Lee/yl